



1 For

10.1019

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of :
ALBERTO GINESI et al. : Examiner: John J. Lee
Serial No.: 09/919,574 : Group Art Unit: 2684
Filed: July 30, 2001 :
For: APPARATUS AND METHOD OF
LOOP AND RATE DEPENDENT POWER
CUTBACK

RESPONSE

In response to the Official Action dated November 30, 2006, the time for response to which is set to expire on February 28, 2006, Applicants has not many any amendments to the subject patent application. Rather, Applicants' request favorable reconsideration of the Examiner's various rejections for the reasons presented below.

The indication of allowable subject matter in Claims 4 to 8, 12, 14, 17 to 21, 25 and 27 is acknowledged with appreciation.

Claims 28 to 30 have been rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite. The Examiner asserts that it is not clear what is being claimed. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Claim 28 recites that the transmitting step recited in Claim 1 is performed during initialization and at a time before transmission of C-REVERB. Applicants respectfully submit that this claim is clear and unambiguous. Specifically, Claim 28 specifies at what point the transmitting step occurs,